

# Benefits of angiotensin-II receptor blockers. Are we going beyond blood pressure control?

Massimo Volpe<sup>1,2</sup>, Giuliano Tocci<sup>1</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Despite the well-known relationship between high blood pressure levels and increased rate of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity, blood pressure control remains poorly achieved. In addition, hypertension is closely related and associated with other cardiovascular risk factors, target organ damage or diabetes, thus hypertensive patients are exposed to a higher level of risk for major cardiovascular events. Thus, effective treatment of hypertension and prevention of its complication remains a cornerstone of cardiovascular prevention. In this regard, recent clinical studies have demonstrated that drugs inhibiting the Renin-Angiotensin System, particularly Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers (ARBs), confer clinical benefits across the spectrum of cardiovascular disease, from patients with conditions predisposing to cardiovascular events, such as left ventricular hypertrophy, microalbuminuria and diabetes

mellitus, to patients with coronary artery disease or stroke, congestive heart failure and end-stage renal disease. Data from these studies suggest that the cardiovascular protection achieved by ARBs is at least, in part, independent from the blood pressure lowering effect. Furthermore, this class of drugs is extremely well tolerated, thus providing higher compliance than that obtained with other antihypertensive agents. Benefits beyond blood pressure lowering effect and good tolerability profile also make ARBs as a key component of combination therapy with other classes of antihypertensive agents to achieve adequate blood pressure control and reduce the risk of incidence of cardiovascular and renal events in hypertensive patients.

## KEY WORDS

Angiotensin II receptor blockers, antihypertensive therapy, blood pressure, cardiovascular risk, hypertension.

## INTRODUCTION

Observational studies have shown a significant and continuous relation between high blood pressure levels and increased incidence of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity<sup>1-5</sup>. Effective treatment of hypertension significantly reduces the incidence of coronary events and ischemic stroke, and prevents the development or delays the progression of atherosclerotic disease to congestive heart failure and end-stage renal disease<sup>6-9</sup>. In

fact, there is a close relationship between the reduction in blood pressure attained and a better prognosis for hypertensive patients: even small reductions in blood pressure levels are associated with large reductions in cardiovascular risk, especially in hypertensive patients at high-risk, such as those with target organ damage or diabetes<sup>10</sup>. However, recent observational data showed that even in the presence of antihypertensive treatment, mainly based on diuretics and Beta-Blockers (BB),

Recebido: 05/12/2005 Aceito: 15/01/2006

1 Cardiology, II Faculty of Medicine, University of Rome "La Sapienza", Sant'Andrea Hospital, Rome.

2 IRCCS Neuromed - Pozzilli (IS), Italy.

Address for Correspondence: Massimo Volpe, MD. Chair and Division of Cardiology, II Faculty of Medicine, University "La Sapienza" of Rome, Sant'Andrea Hospital, Via di Grottarossa, 1035-39, 00189 Rome – Italy. Phone: +39 06 8034 5654; Fax: +39 06 8034 5061; e-mail: massimo.volpe@uniroma1.it

hypertensive patients, followed for up to 23 years, have lower survival rates than non-hypertensive ones, matched for age and sex<sup>11</sup>. Together with other observations, these data suggest that other factors, beyond blood pressure levels, influence the prognosis in hypertensive patients.

Many factors, including the frequent concomitant presence of multiple risk factors such as metabolic syndrome, diabetes, dyslipidemia, central obesity, could account for the poorer prognosis observed in the hypertensive population<sup>12,13</sup>. In addition, hypertension has shown a strong correlation with target organ damage and associated clinical conditions, which contribute to confer a higher level of cardiovascular risk to develop major cardiovascular events<sup>14,15</sup>. In this regard, recent guidelines for management of arterial hypertension have underscored the need for lowering blood pressure at target levels, especially in those patients with high-risk profile, independently of the class of antihypertensive agents used to achieve these goals<sup>16-18</sup>.

However, this issue represents a debated aspect in the proper management of arterial hypertension. In fact, different classes of antihypertensive drugs may have different capacities for realizing target organ protection<sup>19-21</sup>. In particular, those agents that counteract the effects of the activation of the Renin-Angiotensin System (RAS), particularly Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors (ACE-Is) and Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers (ARBs), have been shown to be effective not only in reducing blood pressure levels, at a degree comparable to the other traditional antihypertensive agents<sup>16-18</sup>, but mostly in preventing the occurrence or in delaying the progression of target organ damage in a series of different conditions<sup>19</sup>. The most recent guidelines have recognized the need for strict blood pressure control in each hypertensive patient with the major purpose of reducing growing incidence of cardiovascular disease in the overall population, but these documents have also stressed that in particular subgroups of hypertensive patients, such as those with target organ damage or diabetes, specific antihypertensive drugs may be more appropriate than others, thus suggesting the existence of "compelling indications" that may represent a strategic priority in the management of hypertension<sup>16-18</sup>.

The established need for strict blood pressure control, documented in the clinical trials, strongly contrasts with the poor achievements in clinical practice. Observational studies, in fact, suggest that less than one-third of patients achieved blood pressure target levels<sup>5</sup>. The underuse of combination therapy and a low compliance due to the adverse effects of drugs, are among the most frequent causes of the failure to blood pressure control<sup>22,23</sup>. Further elements may include a late initiation of therapy or the use of an inadequate antihypertensive regimen. The failure to address the different components of global cardiovascular risk

could also play a role in the inadequate cardiovascular protection achieved in the hypertensive population<sup>22,23</sup>.

These factors lead to the conclusion that effective cardiovascular protection in hypertension can only be achieved with appropriate blood pressure control, through the selection of the most appropriate antihypertensive therapy in the individual hypertensive patient on the basis of its own cardiovascular risk profile<sup>22-24</sup>. In this regard, many hypertensive patients required combination therapy, often involving the use of agents that modulate the RAS, for achieving adequate blood pressure control and an effective organ protection with a better tolerability profile<sup>16-18</sup>. In particular, among the strategies that can interfere with the RAS, ARBs provide a very attractive option in view of the selective mechanism of action<sup>25,26</sup>, and of the excellent tolerability, which results in better compliance and long-term adherence to treatment<sup>27,28</sup>.

## ANTIHYPERTENSIVE EFFICACY OF ARBS

Recent guidelines in hypertension have confirmed that significant reductions in both diastolic and systolic blood pressure levels can be achieved with any class of antihypertensive agents, including diuretics, Calcium Channel Blockers (CCBs), BBs, ACE-Is and ARBs, either in monotherapy or mostly in combination therapy<sup>16-18</sup>. In particular, blood pressure reductions obtained with the newer antihypertensive agents, including ARBs, are equivalent to those obtained with all other classic first-choice antihypertensive drugs<sup>16-18</sup>, although benefits obtained by RAS blockade may theoretically add further benefits, due to the fact that renal and cardiovascular protective effects have been described with RAS blocking agents<sup>29-31</sup>. In addition, responder rates with ARBs (alone or in combination with low dose thiazide diuretics) are similar to those obtained with the other first-choice antihypertensive classes, and there are no significant differences between the various ARBs in the blood pressure lowering effects at the tested dosage<sup>32</sup>.

In this latter regard, the most rational combination may be an association between an ARB plus a low-dose thiazide diuretics<sup>33,34</sup>, because this combination provides reciprocal amplification of blood pressure lowering effects, while limiting the side effects of diuretics, which is particularly important for patients with metabolic disorders. Indeed, a therapeutic strategy based on the combination of ARB and low-dose thiazide diuretic, is now extensively used in the clinical practice and it has been repeatedly used in large controlled studies, in which the up-titration of ARBs has been supplemented by the addition of a thiazide diuretic to achieve effective and long-term blood pressure control<sup>33,34</sup>. In these studies combination of ARBs with thiazide diuretics was often required to achieve the target blood pressure, as reported in the Losartan Intervention For End-point

reduction in hypertension (LIFE) study<sup>33</sup>, in which 91% of the patients were on combination therapy, or in the Valsartan Antihypertensive Long-term Use Evaluation (VALUE) randomised trial<sup>34</sup>, in which the combination therapy with a diuretic or additional other drugs was required in 64% - 73% of patients.

#### Cardiovascular and renal protection with ARBs

Several and different lines of evidence suggest that ARBs appear may provide additional benefits in hypertensive patients<sup>19</sup>. In this regard, the final effector of RAS, Angiotensin II, is a major player in development and progression of cardiovascular disease<sup>35-39</sup>. Experimental studies have demonstrated that a high renin profile is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease in patients with hypertension and this cardiovascular risk is particularly significant in patients with concomitant diabetes<sup>40,41</sup>. In general, both the endocrine and the autocrine/paracrine effects on angiotensin II, including vasoconstriction, enhanced susceptibility to thrombosis, superoxide production, vascular smooth muscle growth, myocyte hypertrophy, fibrosis, remodelling of tissues and stimulation of a number of other hormonal mediators, represent solid candidate mechanisms driving the development of cardiovascular and renal pathology<sup>25,26</sup>. The most rational way of blocking the RAS is to use ARBs, which selectively blocks the interaction between angiotensin II and the AT1 receptor. This selectivity is also important because the interaction between residual, unbound angiotensin II and the AT2 subtype receptors may result in an amplification of the beneficial effects of AT1 blockade, and may favour vasorelaxation, and reduced development of hypertrophy and cardiovascular remodelling<sup>25,26</sup>.

The clinical experience with ARBs is increasing with approximately 100000 patients being involved in completed or ongoing clinical trials<sup>33,34,42-70</sup>. Blocking the RAS with ACE-I or ARBs has been indeed shown to reduce cardiovascular events in different settings, including hypertensive patients at high-risk or left ventricular hypertrophy<sup>33,34,55,65,70</sup>, ischemic stroke<sup>33,56</sup>, acute myocardial infarction and coronary artery disease<sup>43,46-49,63,64,66,69</sup>, congestive heart failure with left ventricular dysfunction<sup>42,45,51,53,54,60,67</sup>, type 2 diabetes and diabetic renal disease<sup>50,57-59,61,68</sup>.

A very strong and convincing evidence that ARBs have effects on cardiovascular risk that are independent of blood pressure reductions can be derived from the LIFE study<sup>33</sup>, which recruited more than 9000 patients with hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy. Treatment regimen based on the ARB losartan produced quite comparable blood pressure reductions to the treatment regimen based on the BB atenolol, however, the losartan-based regimen reduced the risk of the primary composite endpoint (cardiovascular death, stroke and myocardial infarction) compared with atenolol-based regimen<sup>33</sup>. In particular, losartan significantly reduced the incidence of fatal and non-fatal stroke compared with atenolol in hypertensive patients with

left ventricular hypertrophy<sup>33</sup>. Further studies of the LIFE population have demonstrated beneficial effects of losartan-based regimen on cardiovascular outcomes beyond blood pressure reductions, in patients with isolated systolic hypertension<sup>71</sup>, left ventricular hypertrophy<sup>72</sup>, atrial fibrillation<sup>73,74</sup>, microalbuminuria and renal impairment<sup>75-77</sup> and diabetes mellitus<sup>78</sup>. In particular, analysis of LIFE population also shows the ability of the ARB losartan to prevent new development of atrial fibrillation<sup>73,74</sup> and diabetes mellitus<sup>78</sup>, independently of the blood pressure lowering effect.

## NEW FRONTIERS FOR CARDIOVASCULAR PROTECTION

### PREVENTION OF NEW-ONSET DIABETES AND DIABETIC NEPHROPATHY

The lower incidence of new onset diabetes achieved with ARBs has been observed in comparison with diuretic, BB, or CCB based regimens<sup>79</sup>. Recent studies demonstrated that new onset diabetes during long-term antihypertensive treatment is associated with poor prognosis in hypertensive patients<sup>80,81</sup>, and it is well known that development of diabetes in hypertension accelerates renal impairment and evolution towards end-stage renal disease<sup>82,83</sup>. This favourable impact of the drugs inhibiting the RAS, and particularly ARBs, on development of diabetes, is attributable to specific mechanisms associated with angiotensin II blockade<sup>50,57-59,61,68,75-77</sup>, and cannot be accounted for only by the detrimental metabolic effects of the comparators (diuretics, BBs, CCBs)<sup>84,85</sup>.

Recent intervention trials in patients with type 2 diabetes and hypertension have clearly and consistently demonstrated the efficacy of ARBs particularly irbesartan<sup>57,58</sup>, valsartan<sup>61</sup>, losartan<sup>59,33,75-77</sup> and telmisartan<sup>68</sup> in limiting the progression of renal failure as compared to conventional treatment or calcium antagonists. In this regard, the Irbesartan Microalbuminuria Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Hypertensive Patients (IRMA2) trial showed that ARBs delay the progression from microalbuminuria to microalbuminuria<sup>58</sup>, whereas the Irbesartan Diabetic Nephropathy Trial (IDNT)<sup>57</sup> and the Reduction of Endpoints in Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus with the Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan (RENAAL) study<sup>59</sup> demonstrated that ARBs delayed progression from macroalbuminuria to end-stage renal disease. The Microalbuminuria Reduction with Valsartan (MARVAL) study<sup>61</sup> integrated this observation, proving that in presence of similar blood pressure reduction, ARBs significantly reduced microalbuminuria excretion with respect to the comparator amlodipine. More recently, the reduction of microalbuminuria and of its progression to overt proteinuria has been associated with a lower cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in a LIFE sub-study<sup>75-77</sup>. Finally, the Diabetics Exposed

to Telmisartan And enalapril (DETAIL) Study Group, which compared the renoprotective effects of ARBs in subjects with type 2 diabetes and early nephropathy, demonstrated that telmisartan is not inferior to enalapril in providing renoprotection (reduced glomerular filtration rate) this kind of patients<sup>68</sup>.

These observations may directly and further enhance the potential causal role of angiotensin II in the pathogenesis of microalbuminuria in diabetes and arterial hypertension, suggesting that properties of ARBs beyond blood pressure control are relevant to confer cardiovascular and renal protection. As a consequence, the most recent guidelines in the management of hypertension have accepted these new data and recommend the early inhibition of RAS, particularly in hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes<sup>16-18</sup>.

#### PREVENTION OF NEW-ONSET ATRIAL FIBRILLATION

Although the mechanisms leading to Atrial Fibrillation (AF) are complex and not completely yet understood, a growing body of evidence accumulated over the past five years suggest that the RAS, particularly Angiotensin II, may play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of AF. Angiotensin II is a potent promoter of fibrosis, and atrial fibrosis, a frequent finding in hypertensive patients with AF, may lead to intra-atrial conduction disturbances and to persistent susceptibility to AF<sup>66</sup>. Increased ACE expression and changes in Angiotensin II Receptor subtypes expression occur in the atria of patients with AF. In this regard, Angiotensin II type 1 Receptor (AT1r) stimulation causes atrial hypertrophy and fibrosis, whereas Angiotensin II type 2 Receptor (AT2r) stimulation counteracts this effect. Due to the abnormal activation of RAS, patients with AF have reduced AT1r and increased AT2r density<sup>67</sup>. Furthermore, there is also evidence suggesting that both ACE and RAS polymorphisms play a role in predisposing patients to AF<sup>68,69</sup>. However, the strongest support for a major role of RAS in pathophysiology of AF derives from the growing evidence that drugs inhibiting RAS may prevent the new onset or delay recurrence of AF.

In this latter regard, recent data suggest that ACE inhibitors attenuate atrial remodeling in experimental models of AF<sup>90</sup>, and significantly reduces the incidence of AF in patients with left ventricular dysfunction<sup>86,91</sup>. In the Trandolapril Cardiac Evaluation (TRACE) study, the ACE inhibitor trandolapril reduced the incidence of AF by 47% after acute myocardial infarction in patients with left ventricular dysfunction<sup>91</sup>. In a retrospective analysis of patients from the Montreal Heart Institute included in the Studies Of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD), the ACE inhibitor enalapril decreased the incidence of AF by 77% over a mean follow-up of 2.9 1.0 years<sup>86</sup>. Moreover, Ueng *et al.*<sup>92</sup> have reported that the addition of enalapril to amiodarone significantly decreased the rate of immediate recurrences and facilitated

subsequent long-term maintenance of sinus rhythm after elective electrical cardioversion in patients with persistent AF.

More recent experimental data suggest that RAS inhibition by ARBs also prevents the promotion of AF by suppressing the development of electrical and structural cardiac remodeling<sup>93</sup>. In addition, Madrid *et al.*<sup>94</sup> have shown that pre-treatment with the ARB irbesartan could also reduce the recurrence of AF after electrical cardioversion in amiodarone-treated patients. Recently, two subgroup analyses of the LIFE study extend these observations and provide further evidence, in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy, for the benefit of RAS inhibition, in the prevention of new-onset AF and in the reduction of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with new-onset or persistent AF<sup>73,74</sup>. In the subgroup of patients with a history of AF or ECG-documented AF, as compared to atenolol-based therapy, losartan-based therapy reduced the primary composite end point of the LIFE study (cardiovascular mortality, fatal or nonfatal stroke, and fatal or nonfatal myocardial infarction) by 42% and the occurrence of stroke by 45% over 4.8 years of follow-up<sup>73</sup>. In patients in sinus rhythm at entry, losartan-based therapy reduced new-onset AF by 33% and subsequent stroke by 51% compared to atenolol-based therapy, despite similar blood pressure reduction. Patients who developed new-onset AF in the losartan group had 40% fewer primary composite end points and 51% fewer strokes than those in the atenolol group<sup>74</sup>. It is interesting to note that in the LIFE study, rates of myocardial infarction and hospitalization for angina pectoris were similar in patients treated with losartan and in those receiving atenolol<sup>33</sup>, suggesting that RAS inhibition is perhaps as effective as beta-blockade in the prevention of acute coronary syndromes. Such a hypothesis requires further study. On the other hand, hospitalization for heart failure was less frequent, and there was a trend for fewer sudden cardiac deaths, with the atenolol versus the losartan regimen<sup>33</sup>, indicating that both treatment modalities are complementary, not mutually exclusive, in hypertensive patients.

#### PRESERVATION OF LEFT VENTRICULAR FUNCTION

A large body of evidence also suggests that RAS blocking agents provide favourable effects in patients with heart failure and left ventricular dysfunction, independently by blood pressure lowering effect. In this regard, the first evidence derived from the Valsartan Heart Failure Trial (Val-HeFT), in which the addition of the ARB valsartan to standard therapy for heart failure, including ACE-Is and BB, reduced the risk of total mortality or hospitalisation for heart failure, compared with placebo<sup>95</sup>. More recently the beneficial properties of ARBs in patients with heart failure and left ventricular dysfunction were confirmed in the Candesartan in Heart failure-Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and morbidity

(CHARM) programme, in which candesartan-based therapy significantly reduced cardiovascular death, either in addition or in alternative of ACE inhibition<sup>96-98</sup>. In this latter regard, in the CHARM-Alternative arm (ARBs used as an alternative to ACE-Is in patients who could not take ACE-Is), candesartan significantly reduced the risk of cardiovascular death or hospitalisation for heart failure<sup>97</sup>, while in the CHARM-Added arm (ARBs added to ACE-Is as combination therapy) candesartan reduced the risk of cardiovascular death or hospitalisation for heart failure, compared with placebo<sup>98</sup>. Finally, in the CHARM-Preserved arm, which recruited patients with preserved left ventricular function, mostly with hypertension, the candesartan-based therapy resulted in a better outcome in term of reduction of cardiovascular mortality and incidence of non-fatal myocardial infarction and non-fatal ischemic stroke<sup>99</sup>.

## CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, The evidence that ARBs may provide additional benefits at any stage of the cardiovascular disease continuum emphasizes the potential favourable effects of these agents, that are independent of blood pressure control, in a wide variety of patients at risk of cardiovascular disease or with cardiovascular or renal impairment<sup>33,34,42-79</sup>. The increasing use of ARBs as first-line agents in hypertension and other patients at risk of cardiovascular disease is based not only on the blood pressure efficacy described above<sup>33</sup>, but also on the excellent tolerability of this class of drugs, which has been assessed in a variety of patient groups such as patients with hypertension, diabetes, renal disease, congestive heart failure and post myocardial infarction<sup>33,34,42-78,95-99</sup>. The favourable tolerability profile of ARBs and their effects on the quality of life appears to result in higher continuation of the drugs compared with other classes of antihypertensive drugs<sup>27,28</sup>.

Clinical studies suggest that ARBs can contribute to the improved prognosis of cardiovascular disease and provide clinical benefit beyond blood pressure lowering effect and across the spectrum of cardiovascular risk, from the control of cardiovascular risk factors, in the early stages of cardiovascular disease and/or renal damage, and in the different stage stages of coronary artery disease and heart failure<sup>33,34,42-78,95-99</sup>. A large body of evidence demonstrates that the benefits obtained with ARBs-based antihypertensive regimen cannot be strictly attributed to the blood pressure lowering effect, suggesting that ARBs may improve prognosis through effects independent of blood pressure reduction<sup>19</sup>. In fact, ARBs seem to confer protection independent from blood pressure in a number of important intermediate end-points, which are related to subsequent development of cardiovascular events<sup>33,34,42-78,95-99</sup>. These data confirm that ARBs

are to be considered an appropriate therapy for patients with arterial hypertension regardless of the stage of disease.

The properties of ARBs favours their combination with all the antihypertensive classes, as is often necessary to achieve blood pressure goals, accordingly to recent guidelines<sup>16-18</sup>. In addition, the unsurpassed tolerability of the ARB class will facilitate the long-term compliance and adherence to therapy, thus facilitating the simultaneous protection of the renal and cardiovascular systems. However, a number of other large clinical investigations are under way to confirm the current indications, but mostly to explore whether these compounds may be effectively used in other indications, such as in high-risk patients, in patients with metabolic syndrome, in patients with microalbuminuria, or even to prevent microalbuminuria and finally in patients with heart failure and preserved left ventricular function.

## REFERENCES

1. MacMahon S, Peto R, Cutler J *et al*. Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease. Part 1, Prolonged differences in blood pressure: prospective observational studies corrected for the regression dilution bias. *Lancet* 1990; 335:765-74.
2. Prospective Studies Collaboration. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2002;360:1903-13.
3. Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2002;360:1903-13.
4. van den Hoogen PC, Feskens EJ, Nagelkerke NJ, Menotti A, Nissinen A, Kromhout D. The relation between blood pressure and mortality due to coronary heart disease among men in different parts of the world. Seven Countries Study Research Group. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:1-8.
5. Wolf-Maier K, Cooper RS, Banegas JR *et al*. Hypertension prevalence and blood pressure levels in 6 European countries, Canada, and the United States. *JAMA* 2003;289:2363-9.
6. Collins R, Peto R, MacMahon S *et al*. Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease. Part 2, Short-term reductions in blood pressure: overview of randomised drug trials in their epidemiological context. *Lancet* 1990; 335:827-39.
7. Blood Pressure Lowering Treatment Trialists' Collaboration. Effect of Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, calcium antagonists, and other blood pressure lowering drugs: results of prospectively designed overviews of randomized trials. *Lancet* 2000;355:1955-64.
8. Flather MD, Yusuf S, Kober L *et al* for the ACE-Inhibitor Myocardial Infarction Collaborative Group. Long-term ACE-inhibitor therapy in patients with heart failure or left-ventricular dysfunction: a systematic overview of data from individual patients. *Lancet* 2000;355:1575-81.
9. Garg R, Yusuf S for the Collaborative Group on ACE Inhibitor Trials. Overview of randomized trials of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on mortality and morbidity in patients with heart failure. Collaborative Group on ACE Inhibitor Trials. *JAMA* 1995;273:1450-6.
10. Hansson L, Zanchetti A, Carruthers SG *et al* for the HOT Study Group. Effects of intensive blood-pressure lowering and low-dose aspirin in patients with hypertension: principal results of the Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) randomised trial. *Lancet* 1998;351:1755-62.
11. Andersson OK, Almgren T, Persson B, Samuelsson O, Hedner T, Wilhelmsen L. Survival in treated hypertension: follow up study after two decades. *Brit Med J* 1998;317:167-71.
12. Kannel WB. Risk stratification in hypertension: new insights from the Framingham Study. *Am J Hypertens* 2000;13(1 Pt 2):3S-10S.
13. Neaton JD, Wentworth D. Serum cholesterol, blood pressure, cigarette smoking and death from coronary heart disease. Overall findings and differences by age for 316,099 white men. Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial research group. *Arch Intern Med* 1992;152:56-64.

14. Sega R, Corrao G, Bombelli M *et al.* for the Pressioni Arteriose Monitorate E Loro Associazioni (PAMELA) Study. Blood pressure variability and organ damage in a general population: results from the PAMELA study. *Hypertension* 2002;39(2 Pt 2):710-4.
15. Cuspidi C, Mancia G, Ambrosioni E, Pessina A, Trimarco B and Banchetti A, on behalf of the APROS Investigatore. Left ventricular and carotid structure in untreated, uncomplicated essential hypertension: results from the Assessment Prognostic Risk Observational Survey (APROS). *J Human Hypertens* 2004;18:891-6.
16. 2003 European Society of Hypertension–European Society of Cardiology guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *J Hypertension* 2003;21:1011-53.
17. Chobanian A, Bakris G, Black H *et al.* The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: The JNC 7 Report. *JAMA* 2003;289:2560-71.
18. 2003 World Health Organization (WHO)/International Society of Hypertension (ISH) statement on management of hypertension. *J Hypertens* 2003;21:1983-92.
19. Volpe M, Ruilope LM, McInnes GT, Waeber B, Weber MA. Angiotensin-II receptor blockers: benefits beyond blood pressure reduction? *J Hum Hypertens* 2005;19:331-9.
20. Ruilope LM, Volpe M. Treating Hypertension with Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers: A Step Ahead Blood Pressure Reduction? *High Blood Press Cardiovasc Prev* 2005;12:9-15.
21. Ruilope LM, Volpe M. The case for blood pressure control in risk groups. *Int J Clin Pract* 2004;58:844-9.
22. Alderman MH, Furberg CD, Kostis JB *et al.* Hypertension guidelines: criteria that might make them more clinically useful. *Am J Hypertens* 2002;15:917-23.
23. Volpe M, Alderman MH, Furberg CD *et al.* Beyond hypertension toward guidelines for cardiovascular risk reduction. *Am J Hypertens* 2004;17:1068-74.
24. Volpe M. Evidence-based indications in hypertensive patients: helping doctors in the initial choice. *High Blood Press Cardiovasc Prev* 2004;11:1-7.
25. Volpe M. Angiotensin II: an amplifier of cardiovascular risk. *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2004;6:247-8.
26. Volpe M, Musumeci B, De Paolis P, Savoia C, Morganti A. Angiotensin II AT2 receptor subtype: an uprising frontier in cardiovascular disease? *J Hypertens* 2003;21:1429-43.
27. Bloom BS. Continuation of initial antihypertensive medication after 1 year of therapy. *Clin Ther* 1998;20:671-81.
28. Weber MA, Bakris GL, Neutel JM, Davidai G, Giles TD. Quality of life measured in a practice-based hypertension trial of an angiotensin receptor blocker. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)* 2003;5:322-9.
29. Timmermans PB. Pharmacological properties of angiotensin II receptor antagonists. *Can J Cardiol* 1999;15 (Suppl F):26F-8F.
30. Moriwaki H, Uno H, Nagakane Y, Hayashida K, Miyashita K, Naritomi H. Losartan, an angiotensin II (AT1) receptor antagonist, preserves cerebral blood flow in hypertensive patients with a history of stroke. *J Hum Hypertens* 2004;18:693-9.
31. Ruilope LM, Campo C, Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Lahera V, Garcia-Robles R, Rodicio JL. Blood pressure and renal function: therapeutic implications. *J Hypertens* 1996;14:1259-63.
32. Opie LH, Schall R. Old antihypertensives and new diabetes. *J Hypertens* 2004;22:1453-8.
33. Dahlof B, Devereux RB, Kjeldsen SE *et al.* for the LIFE Study Group. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the Losartan Intervention For End-point reduction in hypertension (LIFE) study: a randomised trial against atenolol. *Lancet* 2002;359:995-1003.
34. Julius S, Kjeldsen SE, Weber M *et al.* for the VALUE trial group. Outcomes in hypertensive patients at high cardiovascular risk treated with regimens based on valsartan or amlodipine: the (Valsartan Antihypertensive Long-term Use Evaluation) VALUE randomised trial. *Lancet* 2004;363:2022-31.
35. Berk BC, Vekshtein V, Gordon HM, Tsuda T. Angiotensin II-stimulated protein synthesis in cultured vascular smooth muscle cells. *Hypertension* 1989;13:305-14.
36. Geisterfer AA, Peach MJ, Owens GK. Angiotensin II induces hypertrophy, not hyperplasia, of cultured rat aortic smooth muscle cells. *Circ Res* 1988;62:749-856.
37. Schorb W, Booz GW, Dostal DE, Conrad KM, Chang KC, Baker KM. Angiotensin II is mitogenic in neonatal rat cardiac fibroblasts. *Circ Res* 1993;72:1245-54.
38. Griendling KK, Minieri CA, Ollerenshaw JD, Alexander RW. Angiotensin II stimulates NADH and NADPH oxidase activity in cultured vascular smooth muscle cells. *Circ Res* 1994;74:1141-8.
39. Volpe M, Savoia C, De Paolis P, Ostrowska B, Tarasi D, Rubattu S. The renin-angiotensin system as a risk factor and therapeutic target for cardiovascular and renal disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2002;13 (Suppl 3):S173-8.
40. Alderman MH, Madhavan S, Ooi WL, Cohen H, Sealey JE, Laragh JH. Association of the renin-sodium profile with the risk of myocardial infarction in patients with hypertension. *N Engl J Med* 1991;324:1098-104.
41. Alderman MH, Cohen HW, Sealey JE, Laragh JH. Plasma renin activity levels in hypertensive persons: their wide range and lack of suppression in diabetic and in most elderly patients. *Am J Hypertens* 2004;17:1-7.
42. [No authors listed]. Effect of Enalapril on mortality in severe congestive heart failure. Results of the Cooperative North Scandinavian Enalapril Survival Study (CONSENSUS). The CONSENSUS Trial Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1987;316:1429-35.
43. Swedberg K, Held P, Kjekshus J, Rasmussen K, Ryden L, Wedel H. Effects of the early administration of enalapril on mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Results of the Cooperative New Scandinavian Enalapril Survival Study II (CONSENSUS II). *N Engl J Med* 1992;327:678-84.
44. The Gruppo Italiano di Studi Epidemiologici in Nefrologia (GISEN) Study Group. A long-term, randomised clinical trial to evaluate the effects of ramipril on the evolution of renal function in chronic nephropathies. The Ramipril Efficacy In Nephropathy (REIN) Study. *J Nephrol* 1991;3:193-202.
45. The SOLVD Investigators. Effect of enalapril on mortality and the development of heart failure in asymptomatic patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions. *N Engl J Med* 1991;327:1667-81.
46. The SOLVD Investigators. Effect of enalapril on survival in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions and congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 1991;325:293-302.
47. Vantrimpont P, Rouleau JL, Wun CC *et al.* for the Survival And Ventricular Enlargement (SAVE) Investigators. Additive beneficial effects of beta-blockers to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors in the SAVE Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997;29:229-36.
48. The Acute Infarction Ramipril Efficacy (AIRE) Study Investigators. Effect of ramipril on mortality and morbidity of survivors of acute myocardial infarction with clinical evidence of heart failure. *Lancet* 1993;342:821-8.
49. Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C, Carlsen JE *et al.* for the TRAndolapril Cardiac Evaluation (TRACE) Study Group. A clinical trial of the angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor trandolapril in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 1995;333:1670-6.
50. The GISEN (Gruppo Italiano di Studi Epidemiologici in Nefrologia) Group. Randomised placebo-controlled trial of effect of ramipril on decline in glomerular filtration rate and risk of terminal renal failure in proteinuric, non-diabetic nephropathy. The Ramipril Efficacy In Nephropathy (REIN) Study. *Lancet* 1997;349:1857-63.
51. Pitt B, Segal R, Martinez FA *et al.* on behalf of The Evaluation of Losartan in the Elderly Study (ELITE). Randomised trial of losartan versus captopril in patients over 65 with heart failure. *Lancet* 1997;349:747-52.
52. Hansson L, Lindholm LH, Niskanen L *et al.* Effect of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition compared with conventional therapy on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in hypertension: the CAPtopril Prevention Project (CAPPP) randomised trial. *Lancet* 1999;353:611-6.
53. Ryden L, Armstrong PW, Cleland JG *et al.* Efficacy and safety of high-dose lisinopril in chronic heart failure patients at high cardiovascular risk, including those with diabetes mellitus. Results from the Assessment of Treatment with Lisinopril and Survival (ATLAS) trial. *Eur Heart J* 2000;21:1967-78.
54. Pitt B, Poole-Wilson PA, Segal R *et al.* on behalf of The Evaluation of Losartan Heart Failure Survival Study (ELITE II) Investigators. Effect of Losartan compared with Captopril on mortality in patients with symptomatic heart failure: a randomized trial. *Lancet* 2000;355:1582-7.
55. Yusuf S, Sleight P, Dagenais G *et al.* for the Hearth Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) Study Group. Effects of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients. *New Engl J Med* 2000;342:145-53.
56. PROGRESS Collaborative Group. Randomized trial of perindopril-based blood-pressure-lowering regimen among 6105 individuals with previous stroke or transient ischaemic attack. *Lancet* 2001;358:1033-41.
57. Lewis E, Hunsicker L, Clarke W *et al.* for the Collaborative Study Group. Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist Irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes (IDNT). *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:851-60.

58. Parving HH, Lehnert H, Brochner-Mortensen J *et al.* for the Irbesartan in Patients with type 2 Diabetes and Microalbuminuria (IRMA2) Study Group. The effect of irbesartan on the development of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:870-8.
59. Brenner BM, Cooper ME, de Zeeuw D *et al.* Losartan reduces the costs associated with diabetic end-stage renal disease: the RENAAL study economic evaluation. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26:683-7.
60. Cohn JN, Tognoni G *et al.* for the Valsartan Heart Failure Trial Investigators. A randomized trial of the Angiotensin II Receptor Blocker Valsartan in Congestive Heart Failure (Val-HeFT). *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:1667-75.
61. Viberti G, Wheeldon NM; for the MicroAlbuminuria Reduction With VALsartan (MARVAL) Study Investigators. Microalbuminuria reduction with valsartan in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. A blood pressure-independent effect. *Circulation* 2002;106:672-8.
62. ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial. Major outcomes in high-risk hypertensive patients randomized to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or calcium channel blocker vs diuretic: The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). *JAMA* 2002;288:2981-97.
63. Dickstein K, Kjekshus J, and the OPTIMAAL Steering Committee. Effects of losartan and captopril on mortality and morbidity in high-risk patients after acute myocardial infarction: the OPTIMAAL randomised trial. *Lancet* 2002;360:60-75.
64. Fox KM *et al.* for the EUROpean trial On reduction of cardiac events with Perindopril in stable coronary Artery disease (EUROPA) Investigators. Efficacy of perindopril in reduction of cardiovascular events among patients with stable coronary artery disease: randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial (the EUROPA study). *Lancet* 2003;362:782-8.
65. Wing L, Reid C, Ryan P *et al.* for the Second Australian National Blood Pressure Study Group (ANBP2). A Comparison of Outcomes with Angiotensin-Converting-Enzyme Inhibitors and Diuretics for Hypertension in the Elderly. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:583-92.
66. Pfeffer MA, McMurray J, Velazquez EJ *et al.* for the Valsartan in Acute Myocardial Infarction Trial (VALIANT) Investigators. Valsartan, captopril, or both in myocardial infarction complicated by heart failure, left ventricular dysfunction, or both. *N Engl J Med* 2003;349:1893-906.
67. Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K, Granger CB *et al.* for the CHARM Investigators and Committees. Effects of candesartan on mortality and morbidity in patients with chronic heart failure: the CHARM-Overall Programme. *Lancet* 2003;362:759-66.
68. Barnett AH, Bain SC, Bouter P, Karlberg B, Madsbad S, Jervell J, Mustonen J, *et al.* for the Diabetics Exposed to Telmisartan and Enalapril (DETAIL) Study Group. Angiotensin-receptor blockade versus converting-enzyme inhibition in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:1952-61.
69. Braunwald E, Domanski MJ, Fowler SE *et al.* for the PEACE Trial Investigators. Angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition in stable coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:2058-68.
70. Sever P, Dahlöf B, Poulter N *et al.* for the ASCOT investigators. Prevention of coronary and stroke events with atorvastatin in hypertensive patients who have average or lower-than-average cholesterol concentrations, in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial—Lipid Lowering Arm (ASCOT-LLA): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2003;361:1149-58.
71. Kjeldsen SE, Dahlof B, Devereux RB *et al.* LIFE (Losartan Intervention for Endpoint Reduction) Study Group. Effects of losartan on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with isolated systolic hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy: a Losartan Intervention for Endpoint reduction in hypertension (LIFE) substudy. *JAMA* 2002;288:1491-8.
72. Devereux RB, Dahlof B, Gerds E *et al.* Regression of hypertensive left ventricular hypertrophy by losartan compared with atenolol: the Losartan Intervention for Endpoint reduction in hypertension (LIFE) Study. *Circulation* 2004;110:1456-62.
73. Wachtell K, Hornestam B, Lehto M *et al.* Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in hypertensive patients with a history of atrial fibrillation The Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension (LIFE) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:705-11.
74. Wachtell K, Lehto M, Gerds E *et al.* Angiotensin II receptor blockade reduces new-onset atrial fibrillation and subsequent stroke compared to atenolol: The Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension (LIFE) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:712-9.
75. Wachtell K, Olsen MH, Dahlöf B *et al.* Microalbuminuria in hypertensive patients with electrocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy: The Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension (LIFE) study. *J Hypertension* 2002;20:405-12.
76. Ibsen H, Wachtell K, Olsen MH *et al.* Does albuminuria predict cardiovascular outcome on treatment with losartan versus atenolol in hypertension with left ventricular hypertrophy? A Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension (LIFE) substudy. *J Hypertens* 2004;22:1805-11.
77. Olsen MH, Wachtell K, Bella JN *et al.* Albuminuria predicts cardiovascular events independently of left ventricular mass in hypertension: a Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension (LIFE) substudy. *J Hum Hypertens* 2004;18:453-59.
78. Lindholm LH, Ibsen H, Dahlöf B *et al.* for the LIFE study group. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with diabetes in the Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension (LIFE) study: a randomised trial against atenolol. *Lancet* 2002;359:1004-10.
79. Messerli FH, Grossman E, Leonetti G. Antihypertensive therapy and new onset diabetes. *J Hypertension* 2004;22:1845-1847.
80. Sharma AM, Janke J, Gorzelnik K, Engeli S, Luft FC. Angiotensin blockade prevents type 2 diabetes by formation of fat cells. *Hypertension* 2002;40:609-11.
81. Verdecchia P, Reboldi G, Angeli F *et al.* Adverse prognostic significance of new diabetes in treated hypertensive subjects. *Hypertension* 2004;43:963-9.
82. Volpe M, Cosentino F, Ruilope LM. Is it time to measure microalbuminuria in hypertension? *J Hypertens* 2003;21:1213-20.
83. Ruilope LM, Campo C, Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Lahera V, Garcia-Robles R, Rodicio JL. Blood pressure and renal function: therapeutic implications. *J Hypertens* 1996;14:1259-63.
84. Hillege HL, Fidler V, Diercks GF *et al.* Urinary albumin excretion predicts cardiovascular and non-cardiovascular mortality in general population *Circulation* 2002;106:1777-82.
85. Gerstein HC, Mann JF, Yi Q *et al.* HOPE Study Investigators. Albuminuria and risk of cardiovascular events, death, and heart failure in diabetic and nondiabetic individuals. *JAMA* 2001;286:421-6.
86. Vermees E, Tardif JC, Bourassa MG *et al.* Enalapril decreases the incidence of atrial fibrillation in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. Insight from the Studies Of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD) trials. *Circulation* 2003;107:2926-31.
87. Goette A, Arndt M, Rocken C *et al.* Regulation of angiotensin II receptor subtypes during atrial fibrillation in humans. *Circulation* 2000;101:2678-81.
88. Gensini F, Padeletti L, Fatini G, Sticchi E, Gensini GF, Michelucci A. Angiotensin-converting enzyme and endothelial nitric oxide synthase polymorphisms in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2003;26:295-8.
89. Tsai CT, Lai LP, Lin JL *et al.* Renin-angiotensin system gene polymorphisms and atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 2004;109:1640-6.
90. Li D, Shinagawa K, Pang L *et al.* Effects of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition on the development of atrial fibrillation substrate in dogs with ventricular tachycardia-induced congestive heart failure. *Circulation* 2001;104:2608-14.
91. Pederson OD, Bagger H, Kober L, Torp-Pederson C. Trandolapril reduces the incidence of atrial fibrillation after acute myocardial infarction in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. *Circulation* 1999;100:376-80.
92. Ueng KC, Tsai TP, Yu WC *et al.* Use of enalapril to facilitate sinus rhythm maintenance after external cardioversion of long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation. Results of a prospective and controlled study. *Eur Heart J* 2003;24:2090-8.
93. Kumagai K, Nakashima H, Urata H *et al.* Effects of angiotensin II type 1 receptor antagonist on electrical and structural remodeling in atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;41:2197-204.
94. Madrid AH, Bueno MG, Rebollo JMG *et al.* Use of irbesartan to maintain sinus rhythm in patients with long-lasting persistent atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 2002;106:331-6.
95. Cohn JN, Tognoni G, *et al.* for the Valsartan Heart Failure Trial Investigators. A randomized trial of the Angiotensin II Receptor Blocker Valsartan in Congestive Heart Failure (Val-HeFT). *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:1667-75.
96. Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K, Granger CB *et al.* for the CHARM Investigators and Committees. Effects of candesartan on mortality and morbidity in patients with chronic heart failure: the Candesartan cilexetil in Heart failure: Assessment of Reduction in morbidity and Mortality (CHARM) Overall Programme. *Lancet* 2003;362:759-66.

97. Granger CB, McMurray JJ, Yusuf S *et al.* for the CHARM Investigators and Committees. Effects of candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and reduced left ventricular systolic function intolerant to angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors: the Candesartan cilexetil in Heart failure: Assessment of Reduction in morbidity and Mortality (CHARM) Alternative trial. *Lancet* 2003;362:772-6.
98. McMurray JJ, Ostergren J, Swedberg K *et al.* for the CHARM Investigators and Committees. Effects of candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and reduced left ventricular systolic function taking angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors: the Candesartan cilexetil in Heart failure: Assessment of Reduction in morbidity and Mortality (CHARM) Added trial. *Lancet* 2003;362:767-71.
99. Yusuf S, Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K *et al.* for the CHARM Investigators and Committees. Candesartan cilexetil in Heart failure: Assessment of Reduction in morbidity and Mortality (CHARM) Preserved Effects of candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and preserved left-ventricular ejection fraction: the CHARM-Preserved Trial. *Lancet* 2003;362:777-81.

## APPENDIX: POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST

MV has given lectures for industries producing ARBs.